OFFICIAL FILE

Vitness

Date 5-14-04 Reporter Q.D

DIRECT TESTIMONY

OF

MARK A. HANSON

TELECOMMUNICATIONS DIVISION
ILLINOIS COMMERCE COMMISSION

FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC., C-R TELEPHONE COMPANY, THE EL PASO TELEPHONE COMPANY, ODIN TELEPHONE EXCHANGE, INC. AND YATES CITY TELEPHONE COMPANY

JOINT APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE RECAPITALIZATION OF FAIRPOINT COMMUNICATIONS INC. PURSUANT TO SECTIONS 7-203 AND 7-204 AND FOR OTHER RELIEF.

DOCKET NO. 04-0299

MAY 7, 2004

1

- Q. State your name and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Mark A. Hanson. My business address is 527 East Capitol,
- 4 Springfield, Illinois 62701.

5

- 6 Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- 7 A. I am employed by the Illinois Commerce Commission as a Rate Analyst in the
- 8 Telecommunications Division.

9

- 10 Q. Please describe your education and occupational background.
- 11 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Commercial Economics from South
- 12 Dakota State University in 1978. I received a Master of Science degree in
- Economics from South Dakota State University in 1981. From 1981 to 1987, I
- 14 was employed by the South Dakota Department of Transportation as a
- 15 Transportation Planner. From 1987 to 1989, I was enrolled in the doctoral
- program in Economics at Iowa State University. While at Iowa State, I was
- employed as an instructor in the Agricultural Business department and also as
- an instructor in the Transportation/Logistics department. From 1990 to 2000, I
- was employed by Illinois Power Company as a Forecast Specialist, Regulatory
- 20 Matters Specialist, Gas Supply Specialist, and Competitive Pricing Specialist. I
- joined the Staff of the Commission in July 2000.

22

23

Q. Briefly describe your duties with the Illinois Commerce Commission.

A. My responsibilities include reviewing wholesale and retail tariff filings for both competitive and non-competitive telecommunications services, providing support to other Commission Staff, and analyzing cost study issues in docketed cases that have cost of service and rate implications. I am also responsible for reviewing the managerial, technical, and financial capabilities of companies seeking approval to do business in Illinois as competitive local exchange carriers.

32 Q. Have you previously testified before any regulatory agencies?

A. I have testified before this Commission in several different proceedings concerning telecommunications matters. Those proceedings include Docket Nos. 98-0252/98-0335 (Consol.), Docket No. 00-0641, Docket Nos. 00-0511/00-0512(Consol), Docket No. 01-0279, Docket No. 01-0515, Docket No. 00-0812, Docket No. 01-0662, Docket No. 02-0365, Docket No. 02-0864, Docket No. 03-0239, Docket No. 03-0593, Docket No. 03-0596, and Docket No. 03-0779. I have also testified before the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission.

Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?

43 A. In this proceeding, FairPoint Communications, Inc. ("FairPoint"), C-R
44 Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone
45 Exchange, Inc. and Yates City Telephone Company (collectively referred to as
46 "Operating Companies") are petitioning the Commission for permission to

implement a recapitalization plan. The Commission must determine that the companies meet the requirements of Sections 7-203 and 7-204 of the Public Utilities Act ("PUA") or ("the Act") in order to proceed with the recapitalization plan. Based upon my review of the Joint Application filed by FairPoint and the Operating Companies, I suggest a couple of conditions regarding cost and rate issues that the Commission should order FairPoint and the Operating Companies to comply with prior to approving the requested recapitalization pursuant to Sections 7-203 and 7-204 of the Act. Staff witness Janis Freetly (Staff Exhibit 2.0), of the Commission's Finance Department, will offer testimony on FairPoint's and the Operating Companies' compliance with financial standards and suggest a couple of conditions the Commission should impose upon the companies before the recapitalization is approved. Theresa Ebrey (Staff Exhibit 3.0), of the Commission's Accounting Department, will offer comments on FairPoint's and the Operating Companies' compliance with the requirements of Sections 7-203 and 7-204 with respect to subsidization issues. Finally, Samuel S. McClerren (Staff Exhibit 4.0), of the Engineering Department of the Telecommunications Division, will suggest a set of service quality conditions to ensure that customers of Illinois utilities do not suffer declines in service quality as a result of this recapitalization.

66

67

68

ŧ

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

- Q. What are Fairpoint's obligations under Section 7-204 of the Public Utilities Act with respect to rate and cost issues?
- 69 A. Section 7-204(b)(7) requires a petitioner to show that "the proposed

reorganization is not likely to result in any adverse rate impacts on retail customers." Section 7-204(c) requires that "the Commission shall not approve a reorganization without ruling on: (i) the allocation of any savings resulting from the proposed reorganization; and (ii) whether the companies should be allowed to recover any costs incurred in accomplishing the proposed reorganization and, if so, the amount of costs eligible for recovery and how the costs will be allocated."

77 Q. Will this reorganization have adverse rate impacts upon retail customers?

Mr. Leach states in his direct testimony that Fairpoint and its Operating Companies will not likely have any adverse rate impacts upon its retail customers. To ensure that no adverse rate impacts occur as a result of the recapitalization to the customers of C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City, I recommend that the Commission impose a condition upon FairPoint's Operating Companies.

Α.

Q. Please explain the condition you propose.

A. I propose that C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company,

Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City be prohibited from increasing

tariffed retail rates for one year after the effective date of the reorganization.

Additionally, the Operating Companies should not be allowed to use any

increased costs, as a result of this recapitalization, to justify any increases in

¹ FairPoint Exhibit 1.0 at 18

92		their levels of support from the Universal Service Fund.
93		
94	Q.	Has the Commission previously imposed similar conditions to ensure
95		that the requirements of Section 7-204(b) (7) are met?
96	A.	Yes. In Docket No. 00-0161, the Commission imposed a condition upon MJD
97		Communications, Inc., to not increase retail rates as a result of a
98		recapitalization. I note that it appears that MJD Communications Inc. was
99		FairPoint's previous name, since the Docket 00-0161 Order identifies MJD
100		Communications, Inc., like FairPoint, as being the holding company that owned
101		and controlled the Operating Companies involved in this joint application.
102		
103	Q.	What is the level of savings resulting from the reorganization and how
104		should the Commission allocate those savings?
105	A.	According to Mr. Leach, there are no savings from the reorganization and thus
106		there are no allocation issues. Since this reorganization is principally a
107		financial transaction with no operational savings, that appears to be a
108		reasonable statement. I believe there is no issue to be resolved with respect to
109		Section 7-204(c).
110		
111	Q.	Besides cost and rate issues, are there other parts of Section 7-204(b)
112		that you will address?
113	A.	Yes. I will address Section 7-204(b)(1), Section 7-204(b)(5), and Section 7-
114		204(b)(7).

4	1	5
7	1	b.

116 What are the requirements of Section 7-204(b)(5)? Q.

A. This requirement states, "the utility will remain subject to all applicable laws, 117 118 regulations, rules, decisions, and policies governing the regulation of Illinois public utilities." 119

120

121

Q. Did FairPoint's witness make any statements regarding the intent of the 122 Companies with respect to this code part?

Yes. Mr. Leach specifically acknowledged that FairPoint and each of the 123 Α. 124 Operating Companies will remain subject to all applicable laws, regulations, 125 rules, decisions, and policies governing the regulation of Illinois incumbent local carriers.2 126

127

128

Q. What are the requirements of Section 7-204(b)(7)?

129 This requirement states, "the proposed reorganization is not likely to have a A. 130 significant adverse effect on competition in the markets over which the 131 Commission has jurisdiction."

132

133

- In your opinion, will this reorganization have a significant adverse impact Q. on competition in markets over which the Commission has jurisdiction?
- No. This reorganization is primarily financial in nature. Allowing it to proceed 135 Α. 136 should have no impact on competition in the markets in which these companies

² Fairpoint Exhibit 1.0 at 17

137		operate.
138		
139	Q.	What are the requirements of Section 7-204(b)(1)?
140	A.	This requirement states, "the proposed reorganization will not diminish the
141		utility's ability to provide adequate, reliable, efficient, safe, and least cost utility
142		service."
143		
144	Q.	In your opinion, will this reorganization meet the requirements of Section
145		7-204(b)(1)?
146	A.	In addition to my suggested conditions, Staff witnesses Freetly, Ebrey, and
147	e.	McClerren suggest various conditions. Adopting these conditions as part of
148		approving the reorganization will ensure that this reorganization meets the
149		requirements of Section 7-204(b)(1).
150		
151	Q.	Will you summarize the conditions Staff recommends the Commission
152		impose upon FairPoint and the Operating Companies?
153	A.	Staff proposes that the following conditions be imposed in connection with the
154		transaction:
155		1) Staff should be granted access to all books, accounts, records, and
156		personnel of FairPoint Communications Inc., C-R Telephone Company, The
157		El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates
158		City Telephone Co. and all of their utility and non-utility affiliated parent,

sister and subsidiary companies, as well as independent auditors' work
papers. See Theresa Ebrey's Testimony, Exhibit 3.0;

- 2) C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City Telephone Co. should continue to comply with 83 III. Adm. Code 712. See Theresa Ebrey's Testimony, Exhibit 3.0;
 - 3) FairPoint Communications Inc., C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City Telephone Co. and all of their utility and non-utility affiliated parent, sister and subsidiary companies should conduct annual internal audits to test compliance with Sections 7-204(b)(2) and 7-204(b)(3). The internal audit report documenting findings, conclusions, and recommendations should be submitted to the Manager of Accounting of the Illinois Commerce Commission by March 31st each year and associated work papers should be available to Commission Staff for review. The first internal audit report shall be submitted to the Manager of Accounting of the Commission on or before March 31, 2005. See Theresa Ebrev's Testimony, Exhibit 3.0;
 - 4) that C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City Telephone Co. be prohibited from increasing tariffed retail rates for one year after the effective date of the reorganization. See above;
 - 5) the C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso Telephone Company, Odin

Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City Telephone Co. are prohibited from using any increased costs, as a result of this recapitalization, to justify any increases in their levels of support from the Universal Service Fund. See above;

- 6) an Operating Company (i.e. C-R Telephone Company, The El Paso
 Telephone Company, Odin Telephone Exchange, Inc. and Yates City
 Telephone Co.) will be prohibited from paying dividends to FairPoint
 Communications Inc. or from otherwise transferring cash to FairPoint
 Communications Inc. through loans, advances, investments or other means
 that would divert their moneys, property or other resources that is not
 essentially or directly connected with the provision of non-competitive
 telecommunications service if that operating company fails to meet or
 exceed the standard, set herein, for a majority of the service quality
 measures. See Janis Freetly's Testimony, Exhibit 2.0;
 - a) STANDARDS: Standards shall be developed for each operating company's performance with respect to each service quality measure. If any of the Operating Company's are granted a permanent waiver from having to comply with a key service quality measure, then that service quality measure shall not be included in the list. Until the Commission issues an order in Dockets 04-0278 through 04-0281, key service quality measures 730.510(a)(1)(A), 730.510(a)(1)(B), 730.510(b)(1), and 730.510(b)(1) shall be included in the condition, but not used to determine compliance with this condition. If a permanent

204		waiver is denied, then the	ose service quality measures shall be used to	
205		determine compliance. A	standard shall be the average of the two year	
206		actual performance of tha	at operating company for that service quality	
207		measure, for the past two	enty-four months. See Samuel S. McClerren's	
208		Testimony, Exhibit 4.0;		
209	b)	MEASUREMENTS: Meas	surements shall commence on the date the	
210		securities are issued, and will be taken on an annual basis. The		
211		service quality measures	subject to this condition are:	
212		730.510(a)(1)(A) -	Toll & Assistance Answer Time	
213		730.510(a)(1)(B) -	Information Answer Time	
214		730.510(b)(1) -	Business Office Answer Time	
215		730.510(b)(1) -	Repair Office Answer Time	
216		730.535(a) -	Interruptions of Service	
217		730.540(a) -	Installation Requests	
218		730.545(a) -	Trouble Reports	
219		See Samuel S. McClerrer	n's Testimony, Exhibit 4.0;	
220				
221	c)	ANNUAL REPORTS: Fai	rPoint Communications Inc. shall file an	
222		annual report with the Chief Clerk's Office and posted in this docket.		
223		The annual report shall be	e filed December 1 of each year. Within the	
224		annual report, FairPoint C	Communications Inc. shall identify each carrier,	
225		and the title of the service	e quality measure, and by operating company	

FairPoint Communications Inc. shall list the standard set by the

Commission for each service quality measure, and the actual performance for each annual period. The annual report shall present the actual performance data for every month after the date the securities are issued, with the initial month of data presented in the report being July 2004. See Samuel S. McClerren's Testimony, Exhibit 4.0;

d) FINAL NOTICE: When FairPoint Communications Inc.'s issuer credit rating from both Standard & Poor's and Moody's Investors Service improves to investment grade, FairPoint Communications Inc. shall send a certified notice to the Commission, with a third-party independent verification that its issuer credit rating has been upgraded to investment grade. A corporate officer shall certify that the notice is true and accurate. See Janis Freetly's Testimony, Exhibit 2.0;

e) DURATION OF CONDITON: The duration of time this condition should remain in effect is until FairPoint Communications Inc.'s issuer credit rating increases to investment grade. See Janis Freetly's Testimony, Exhibit 2.0;

7) that FairPoint keep available exclusively for the Illinois operating telephone companies, under its senior secured credit facility, an amount equal to the higher of \$1 million or the currently approved capital expenditures budget

250 for all four Illinois operating telephone companies. FairPoint should certify 251 annually to the Commission that the required amount is available to the 252 Illinois operating companies for the ensuing year. Therefore, on December 253 1 of each year, FairPoint shall send a notice to the Commission 254 certifying that such amount was then currently available, and for the 255 ensuing year what the dollar commitment would be for the Illinois 256 companies based on the capital expenditure budget for the following year. See Janis Freetly's Testimony, Exhibit 2.0. 257 258 259 Q. Does this conclude your direct testimony? 260 A. Yes, it does.